UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,618	12/05/2007	Tamar Eilam	YOR920030587US1	3559
	7590 01/24/201 BURN LLP-IBM YO	EXAMINER		
20 Church Stree 22nd Floor		CHENEY, BOBAE K.		
Hartford, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2469	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/587,618	EILAM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BOBAE K. CHENEY	2469	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than 1 may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ich the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or 	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres	d 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Set 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u> </u>
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10,13-23,25-37,and 44-47.	ill not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of below or appended.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo	ore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered icient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	·
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO ₁	
/lan N. Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2469	/B. K. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2469

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended claims 1 13, 15, 26, 27, 29, and 44. The new limitation in claims 1 and 15, "the at least one policy for controlling the number of reserve resources available to process requests from the at least one domain and the sub-domain," was not cited in claims 1 and 15 before. The new limitation in claims 13 and 26, "tangibly," was not cited in claims 13 and 26 before. The new limitation in claims 17 and 27, "of public resource pools.. based on an acquisition policy, the acquisition policy determining which resources are added or removed from the public resource pools for all of the different organizations," was not cited in claims 17 and 27 before. The new limitation in claim 29, "for representing... the plurlaity of collectors comprising: one or more root collectors and one or more non-root collectors, said one or more root collectors comprising a public resrouce pool, said one or more non-root collectors comprising a private resource pool, ... plurality... of said plurality of collectors," was not cited in claim 29 before. The new limitation in claim 44, "said Base Resource Distribution Service providing a reservation of resources even when resources are not currently available," was not cited in claim 44 before. Therefore, additional search and cosideration will be necessary.